SECTION 7 — DISCUSSION

Proposed False Claims Act Disclosure

7.1 Purpose of this Discussion

The preceding sections of this report document observations, investigative activities, and supporting evidence without attempting to resolve the underlying cause or meaning of those observations. The purpose of this Discussion is to identify recurring patterns documented throughout the investigation, distinguish documented observations from interpretation, and identify areas that may warrant independent review by investigators with access to information beyond that available to the author.

Unless otherwise indicated, the discussion below reflects reasonable inferences drawn from the documented chronology rather than established findings of fact. Questions are presented as subjects for further investigation, not as predetermined conclusions.

7.2 Recurring Patterns

One of the most significant aspects of this investigation is not any single observation, but the repeated appearance of similar categories of observations over an extended period.

The chronology documents recurring stationary aerial objects, recurring mobile aerial objects, repeated drone activity, conventional aircraft activity, changing atmospheric conditions, and documented environmental measurements collected over multiple months. Similar categories of observations were recorded repeatedly rather than as isolated incidents.

Although no single observation independently establishes a broader pattern, the recurrence of similar observations over time formed the basis for continued documentation and investigation. Whether these recurring patterns share a common explanation, represent multiple unrelated phenomena, or have ordinary explanations remains beyond the scope of this report.

7.3 Geographic Continuity of Observations

The chronology documents similar categories of observations at geographically separated locations, including North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Arkansas, Texas, Arizona, Nevada, Virginia, and the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area.

These observations occurred both at the primary observation site and during interstate travel conducted as part of the investigation. Similar categories of aerial activity, environmental observations, and drone activity were documented using the same general methodology regardless of location.

The chronology raises the question of whether these observations represent unrelated local events or whether some broader mechanism may explain the apparent continuity documented during travel. This report does not resolve that question. Rather, it preserves the chronology so investigators may compare these observations with available governmental records, aviation records, environmental data, and other independent sources.

7.4 Environmental Questions

Environmental conditions documented throughout the investigation represent another recurring pattern.

Repeated observations of changing visibility, airborne particulate, atmospheric haze, AQI increases, PM2.5 measurements, satellite imagery, weather conditions, and other environmental factors were documented contemporaneously with aerial observations.

This report does not conclude that any documented environmental condition caused, resulted from, or was otherwise related to the aerial observations. Instead, these recurring observations raise questions that may warrant comparison with available environmental monitoring records, meteorological data, aviation records, and other independently maintained datasets.

7.5 Operational Questions

Throughout the investigation, the chronology documents repeated observations of conventional aircraft, helicopters, drones, and other aerial activity occurring during periods of sustained observation.

Because the author does not possess access to military operational records, classified information, FAA radar archives, or other governmental systems, this report cannot determine the purpose of any particular aircraft operation or aerial activity.

Accordingly, several questions remain appropriate for independent investigation, including whether documented flights corresponded with scheduled exercises, routine aviation activity, authorized testing, emergency operations, or other identifiable governmental or civilian activities.

Where official records exist, comparison of those records with the documented chronology may assist investigators in determining whether any relationship exists between the recorded observations and known operations.

7.6 Digital and Documentary Events

The chronology also documents several events involving digital records, social-media content, electronic communications, and other documentary evidence that occurred during the reporting period.

These include deleted or unavailable social-media content, unusual account activity, recording interruptions, disappearing online comments, and other documented events affecting preservation or publication of evidence.

This report does not determine whether these events resulted from routine technical processes, platform moderation, user error, unrelated technical issues, or other causes. They are documented because they affected evidence preservation and occurred during the investigative period. Independent technical review may assist in determining whether any broader significance exists.

7.7 Scope of Personal Experiences

This report is not intended to document every experience I personally associate with the events described herein. Rather, it is intended to present observations and events that are

supported by contemporaneous documentation, photographs, videos, public records, or other evidence capable of independent review.

Throughout the reporting period, I experienced additional personal, subjective, and spiritual events that I believe are relevant to my overall experience. Many of those events are discussed publicly in my videos, interviews, and other public communications. Because they are not supported by independently verifiable evidence, they have generally been excluded from this report.

Their exclusion should not be interpreted as a statement that they did not occur. Instead, it reflects a deliberate decision to distinguish between documented evidence suitable for formal investigation and personal experiences that cannot presently be corroborated to the same evidentiary standard.

Although these personal experiences are not included as evidentiary findings in this report, they are not unique to my account. Similar descriptions—including reports of perceived communications, subjective experiences, and other personal accounts associated with unidentified aerial phenomena—have appeared in publicly available accounts and historical reporting by other individuals. This report does not attempt to verify those accounts, nor does it rely upon them as evidence. They are noted only to explain that my decision to omit these experiences is based on evidentiary standards rather than because such experiences are absent from the broader body of published reports.

Should investigators consider those experiences relevant, I am willing to discuss them separately. They remain part of my personal account but are intentionally excluded from the evidentiary findings presented in this report in order to preserve a clear distinction between documented evidence and personal experience.

7.8 Recommendations for Independent Investigation

Because this investigation was conducted using publicly available information and personally collected documentation, investigators with access to governmental records may be able to evaluate questions that cannot be resolved within this report.

Areas that may warrant independent review include comparison of the documented chronology with:

- FAA radar and flight records.
- Military flight logs and operational records, where available.
- NORAD and other governmental radar systems.
- AARO records and related investigative files.
- Notices to Air Missions (NOTAMs).
- Air-quality and environmental monitoring data.
- Weather observations and satellite imagery.
- Military exercise schedules.
- Publicly available aviation records.
- Original photographs, videos, and associated metadata preserved by the author.

The purpose of these comparisons would not be to confirm the conclusions of this report, but to determine whether independently maintained records correspond with the documented chronology.